

1 NOT FOR PUBLICATION

2 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY APPELLATE PANEL**  
3 **OF THE TENTH CIRCUIT**

4  
5  
6 IN RE JAMES ANTHONY FLETCHER  
7 and RHONDA DARLENE  
8 FLETCHER,

9 Debtors.

BAP No. EO-99-007

10 JAMES ANTHONY FLETCHER and  
11 RHONDA DARLENE FLETCHER,

12 Appellants,

13 v.

14 MICHAEL WALKER and GLORYAND  
15 WALKER,

16 Appellees.

Bankr. No. 99-73202

ORDER AND JUDGMENT\*

17 Appeal from the United States Bankruptcy Court  
18 for the Eastern District of Oklahoma

19 Before PUSATERI, CLARK, and PEARSON, Bankruptcy Judges.

20  
21 PER CURIAM.

22 After examining the briefs and appellate record, the Court has determined  
23 unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist in the determination of this  
24 appeal. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8012; 10th Cir. BAP L.R. 8012-1(a). The case is  
25 therefore ordered submitted without oral argument.  
26

27  
28 \* This order and judgment has no precedential value and may not be cited, except  
for the purposes of establishing the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, or  
collateral estoppel. 10th Cir. BAP L.R. 8010-2.

1 James Anthony and Rhonda Darlene Fletcher ("Debtors") appeal an Order of the  
2 United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma denying their  
3 motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 522(f) to avoid the judicial lien of Michael and  
4 Gloryand Walker. The bankruptcy court based its decision on its earlier ruling in the  
5 case of In re Coats, Bankr. No. 98-70529 (Bankr. E.D. Okla. filed April 15, 1998),  
6 and recognized that the Coats case was on appeal to this Court. Appellants' Appendix  
7 Record No. 4. Since the bankruptcy court issued its Order, this Court reversed the  
8 bankruptcy court in the Coats case. Coats v. Ogg (In re Coats), \_\_ B.R. \_\_, 1999 WL  
9 218774, BAP No. EO-98-028 (10th Cir. BAP filed April 15, 1999). In Coats, this  
10 Court held that judicial liens attach to a debtor's homestead under 12 Okla. Stat. Ann. §  
11 706(B)(2), as amended in 1997, and therefore, they may be avoided under § 522(f) to  
12 the extent that they "impair" a debtor's exemption. We are bound by this Court's  
13 decision in Coats. See Starzynski v. Sequoia Forest Indus., 72 F.3d 1513, 1525 (10th  
14 Cir. 1995), cited in In re Blagg, 223 B.R. 795, 804 (10th Cir. BAP 1998) (one panel  
15 cannot overrule the judgment of another panel of the Court).

16 Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in Coats, the bankruptcy court's Order is  
17 hereby REVERSED, and the matter is REMANDED.